NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LIFE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES OF UKRAINE

Radiobiology and Radioecology Department

Acting Dean of		•		rotection, I Ecology
				Kolomiets
	• • •			2020
CONSI	DER	ED A	ND APP	ROVED
at the meeting of Radiobiology a				
Protocol № 12	from	" <u>17</u>	" June_	2020 p.
		Head	of the De	epartment
			A.V	V. Klepko

"CONFIRMED"

CURRICULUM WORKING PROGRAM

"ASSESSMENT OF RADIATION RISKS FOR HUMANS AND ENVIRONMENT"

Specialty:	101 - Ecology	
Educational program:	«Ecology and Environmental Protection»	
Faculty:	Plant protection, biotechnology and ecology	
	Volodymyr Illienko, PhD in Biology, senior lecturer of	
Dovolonova	Radiobiology and Radioecology Department	
Developers:	Alla Klepko, PhD in Biology, Head of the Radiobiology	
	and Radioecology Department	

1. PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVE OF THE DISCIPLINE

The purpose of teaching the discipline "Assessment of radiation risks for humans and environment" is the formation of students' knowledge and skills for a comprehensive assessment of the impact on human health and the quality of the environment, objects of economic activity that use sources of ionizing radiation (NPP construction projects, operation of existing nuclear reactors, the Exclusion Zone, places of temporary localization of nuclear waste, etc.) in the scale of the chosen territory, provides skills for preliminary checking of compliance of projects with current legislation and safety requirements, guarantee of minimization of radioactive isotopes' intake to the human body with food products, skills in control and management of actions in the event of radiation accidents in order to assess the extent of pollution and radiation risks.

The task is to provide opportunities for using the acquired knowledge and skills for the description, analysis and prediction of radiation risks during the use of ionizing radiation sources under the conditions of limited information, as well as for the implementation of the master's thesis.

2. AFTER FINISHING OF COURSE STUDENTS HAVE TO

The student should know the characteristics of various types of ionizing radiation and the features of their interaction with living matter, the unit of measurement of radioactivity and doses of ionizing radiation, the basis of statistical processing of experimental data, sources of ionizing radiation in Ukraine and in the world.

Student should be able to:

- measure the specific, volume radioactivity for α -, β -, γ -radionuclides;
- use modern software packages (ERICA, Crom) to assess radiation risks, predict the level of pollution of the territory as a result of a radiation accident;
 - conduct a comprehensive radioecological assessment of the territory;
- determine the level of risk for the population and the environment from the construction of objects using sources of ionizing radiation;
 - formulate logical conclusions.

3. DISCIPLINE CONTENT AND TYPES OF EDUCATIONAL WORK

Types of educational activity	Total hours	
The total laboriousness of the discipline	126	
Lectures	20	
Practical training	20	
Independent student work	86	
Type of final control	Exam	

4. CONTENTS OF DISCIPLINE MODULES AND TYPES TO WORK

				Types of educational activity, hours.		
№	Торіс	The topic content, recommended literature	lectures	practical training	indepen dent work	

Module 1. Ecological and radiation risk

Scientific 2 1.1. ecological approach of assessment of the state and regulation fundamentals and of the quality of the environment. estimation Threshold and non-threshold concept. standardization of the effects of Environmental and sanitary regulation. Toxicological regulation man-made the of Limit-permissible systems on chemicals. Limit-permissible environment concentrations. load. Fields environmental of influence; fields of concentration.

Literature: 1-7, 9, 10

1.2 Ecological risk Natural and man-made catastrophic and basic processes. The role of radiation principles of factors in environmental risk for the environmental population. Geochemical factors of safety.

Population of ecological risk population of ecological risk and criteria for its assessment. An economic approach to security issues: cost estimation of risk:

2

2

2

10

10

acceptable level of risk. Connection of the level of security with the economic opportunities of society. Social aspects of risk; perception of risks and society's reaction to them.

Literature: 7, 9-11, 17

1.3 The 10 main Environmental safety policy. 2 4 directions and Reducing effects the and of compensating damage. methods for the the Environmental reducing Risk and Interaction. Placement of industrial environmental risk facilities and environmental Development protection. and implementation of new technologies. Ecologically safe use of biotechnology.

Literature: 1, 4-8, 16, 21

Module 2. Theoretical and methodological bases of analysis and risk assessment

2.1 Conceptually- Concepts of analysis and risk 2 2 10 methodical assessment. Methods of analysis and apparatus for risk assessment. Models of analysis analysis and risk and risk assessment. assessment

Literature: 4-7, 21, 22

2.2 Formal means of System analysis. Expert methods and constructing risk decision-making systems. Stochastic Modeling Techniques. assessment Logicmodels probabilistic methods of safety research. Markov process. Poisson Method of statistical process. simulation of Monte Carlo.

Literature: 7, 21, 22

2.3 Assessment of Estimation of the dose absorbed by the risk related to man due to the influence of ionizing the influence of radiation. Average doses of radiation ionizing radiation of thyroid gland of children and adolescents of different regions of Ukraine. Radiation risk assessment.

Literature: 7, 16-19, 21, 22

Module 3. The use of information technology for the assessment and prediction of radiation risks

3.1 Assessment of General information about COSYMA 2 2 6 radiological (Code System from MARIA). Three

2

2

10

12

2

consequences of basic parts: the module for submitting accidents using input data, a software package and a COSYMA module for submitting the results. the and system **Quantitative** qualitative characteristics of the incident. individual Calculation of and collective doses. Primary parameters groups: meteorological conditions, dispersion, parameters sedimentation of radioactive particles, characteristics of the source of emissions, population density, consumption of products that may be contaminated, countermeasures, dose estimation and influence on public health. calculation of economic losses.

Literature: 7, 10-14, 18, 19, 21

2

6

Analysis of the MEPAS - "Integrated Environmental 3.2 distribution of Pollution Assessment System". emissions Integral risk assessment for human (discharges) of health and the environment. Creating and a plausible basis for optimizing (by toxic economic indicators) measures that radioactive in reduce risk and risk. Conducting an contaminants the environment analysis of the feasibility of practical the implementation (using available using MEPAS system resources) of the measures provided for rehabilitation of the territories. Planning of rational actions and measures for prevention and restoration of the environment and reducing the negative impact on human health.

Literature: 7, 10-14, 18, 19, 21

3.3 Features of the Simplification for dose estimation of ERICA software ionizing radiation. Concept for package determining the dose for animals and humans. List of radioactive isotopes for which an assessment can be made. Assessment of doses from internal and external radiation.

Literature: 7, 10-14, 18, 19, 21

3.4 Modeling, Methodological basis of the program. 2 2 forecasting and Choice of model parameters:

2

6

6

2

risk assessment radioactive isotopes, radiation from using the CROM radionuclides in air, soil, water, software package internal radiation due to consumption of contaminated food, due to inhaling radioactive isotopes with air. Prediction of the level of pollution of the territory at different distances from the source of emissions.

Literature: 7, 10-14, 18, 19, 21

Total:	20	20	86
Total•		20	86

5. STUDENT RATINGS

5.1 Criteria for calculating the maximum number of points in conditions of rating by hours:

Lectures - for each hour of listened and recapitulated lecture -0.5 points.

Practical classes - for every hour of completed and assigned task of practical classes - 1 point.

Independent work - for every hour of self-prepared and assigned task - 0.5 points.

Rating (maximum) of the student by modules considering hours as a criterion

Module	R _{e.w.}	Credits	Lectures	Practice sessions	Independent work	Total
1	M_1	1,33	$6 \cdot 0,5 = 3$	8 · 1 = 8	$30 \cdot 0,5 = 15$	26
2	M_2	1,33	$6 \cdot 0,5 = 3$	6 · 1 = 6	$32 \cdot 0,5 = 16$	25
3	M_3	1,33	8. 0,5 = 4	6 · 1 = 6	$24 \cdot 0,5 = 12$	22
	Total	4,0	10	20	43	73

Rating of educational work $\mathbf{R}_{\text{e.w.}} = 70$ %, and rating of exam $\mathbf{R}_{\text{exam}} = 30$ % from the total number of points (according to the Regulations).

In case of 100% mastering of discipline the student can get $R_{\rm e.w.}$ - 51 points, and $R_{\rm exam}$ - 22 points.

5.2 Rating of attestation for discipline

National score	ECTS	Definition ECTS	R _{dis.} , points	R _{dis.} , actual points for discipline
Excellent	A	Excellent - perfectly performance, with only a small number of errors.	$(0.9 - 1.0) \cdot R_{dis.}$	66 – 73
Good	В	Very good - above average level with several mistakes	$(0.82 - 0.89) \cdot R_{dis.}$	60 – 65

	C	Good - generally correct with some mistakes	$(0,75-0,82) \cdot R_{dis.}$	55 – 59
Satisfactory	D	Satisfactory - not bad, but with a significant number of shortcomings	$(0,66-0,74)\cdot R_{dis.}$	48 – 54
	Е	Enough - execution satisfies the minimum criteria	$(0,60-0,65) \cdot R_{dis.}$	44 – 47
Unsatisfactory	FX	Unsatisfactory - you need to work before getting a score (positive rating)	$(0,35-0,59) \cdot R_{dis.}$	26 – 43
	F	Unsatisfactory - serious further work is needed	$(0.01 - 0.34) \cdot R_{dis.}$	1 – 25

5.3 Discipline rating

$$R_{\text{dis.}} = R_{\text{e.w.}} + R_{\text{exam}} + R_{\text{add.w.}} - R_{\text{penal}}$$

Assume that the student scored only 55 points, which is 75% of 4.0 credits or $R_{\rm dis.}$ of student is 3.0 credit.

6. EXAMPLE OF CONTROL TASKS

	National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine					
Ma	ster 2st	Radiobiology and	Test №	Approved		
yea	year study Radioecology from the course		Head of department			
	ecialty	Department	Assessment of radiation			
Radi	oecology	2019/2020 study	risks for humans and			
		year	environment	Gudkov I.M.		
			Questions			
1. W	ays of rad	ionuclide uptake to t	ne organism of animals and humans.			
2. Co	omparative	e penetrating ability of	of various types of ionizing radiation.			
			Tests			
1.	The prob	ability that a person	or their offspring will have a harmful e	effect as a result of exposure		
	is:					
		tion risk; b) Oxyg	en effect; c) Radiobiological parado	x; d) Probability theory		
2.		is equal to ber		10051		
3.			came to the human body in April-May	_		
	a) The sk	, ,	,	d) Wound surface		
4.	4. Exposure dose in the SI system is measured in units					
5.		Sv; c) C/kg; d) R				
			system is measured in units			
0.	6. The half-life of ¹³⁷ Cs is years a) 20; b) 30; c) 40; d) 50					
7.	, , ,	. , . ,	e such that			
/•	7. Incorporated radionuclides are such that a) Got on the skin; b) Got in gastrointestinal tract; c) Included in the tissues and organs;					
	d) Get to the ecosystem					
8.	8. The positively charged heavy nuclear particles forming the basis of atomic nuclei are called					
	9. What statements are correct for β-particles:					
	a) Have '- 'charge; b) Consists of 2 protons and 2 neutrons; c) Is a nuclei of helium; d) Is the					
	most harmful for organism					
10.	<u> </u>					
	positively and negatively charged ions, is called					

7. RECOMMENDED LITERATURE

- 1. Choppin G. R., Liljenzin J.-O., Rydberg J. Radiochemistry and nuclear chemistry. 4th ed., Academic Press, 2013, 858 p.
- 2. Chernobyl: 30 Years of Radioactive Contamination Legacy. Report. Lead writer and coordination of report: Prof. Valerii Kashparov, Kyiv, 2016, 59 p.
- 3. Climate change and nuclear power. International Atomic Energy Agency, VIENNA, 2005, 112 p.
- 4. Natural and induced radioactivity in food. International Atomic Energy Agency, VIENNA, 2002, 136 p.
- 5. Gleyzes, C., Tellier, S. & Astruc, M. Fractionation studies of trace elements in contaminated soils and sediments: a review of sequential extraction procedures. Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 21 (6-7), 2002, p. 451-467.
- 6. International Atomic Energy Agency Safety Standards Series No. RS-G-1.8, Environmental and Source Monitoring for Purposes of Radiation Protection for protecting people and the environment, Safety Guide, IAEA, VIENNA, 2005, p.119.
- 7. Radiation biology: a handbook for teachers and students. International Atomic Energy Agency, VIENNA, 2010, 150 p.
- 8. He, Z. L. L., Yang, X. E. & Stoffella, P. J. Trace elements in agroecosystems and impacts on the environment. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 19 (2-3), 2005, p. 125-140.
- 9. Lind, O.C., Salbu, B., Janssens, K., Proost, K., García-León, M., García-Tenorio, R. Characterization of U/Pu particles originating from the nuclear weapon accidents at Palomares, Spain, 1966 and Thule, Greenland, 1968. Science of the Total Environment, 376, 2007, p. 294–305.
- 10. Salbu, B. Fractionation of radionuclide species in the environment. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 100 (4), 2009, p. 283-289.
- 11. Tessier, A., Campbell, P. G. C. & Bisson, M. Sequential extraction procedure for the speciation of particulate trace-metals. Analytical Chemistry, 51 (7), 1979, p. 844-851.
- 12. Гудков І.М. Радіобіологія: підручник. Херсон : Олді-Плюс, 2016. 504 с.
- 13. Гудков І.М., Гайченко В.А., Кашпаров В.О. Сільськогосподарська радіоекологія: підручник. К.: Ліра-К, 2017. 268 с.
- 14. Моисеев А.А., Иванов В.И. Справочник по дозиметрии и радиационной гигиене. М.: Энергоатомиздат, 1990. 252с.
- 15. НРБУ-97/2000
- 16. Пристер Б.С., Лощилов Н.А., Немец О.Ф., В.А. Поярков. Основы сельскохозяйственной радиологии. К.: -Урожай, 1991. 472с.
- 17. Хомутінін Ю.В., Кашпаров В.О., Жебровська К.І. Оптимізація відбору і вимірювань проб при радіоекологічному моніторингу, Монографія. К.: Український науково—дослідний інститут сільськогосподарської радіології, 2002, 160 с.
- 18. Природний, техногенний та екологічний ризики: аналіз, оцінка, управління: монографія / Г.В. Лисиченко, Ю.Л. Забулонов, Г.А. Хміль ;

- НАН Україна, Ін-т геохіміі навколишнього середовища. LinkКиїв : Наук. думка, 2008., 544 с.
- 19. Паренюк О.Ю., Іллєнко В.В., Гудков І.М. Мікрофлора забруднених радіонуклідами ґрунтів. К.: Вид-во НУБіП України, 2018. 198 с.
- 20. Бондар О.І., Фещенко В.П., Гудков І.М., Гуреля В.В. Радіоекологічний термінологічий словник (україно-англійсько-російський). Житомир: ПП Експертний центр Укреколбіокон, 2018. 254 с.
- 21. Якість грунту. Методи відбору проб ґрунту для радіаційного контролю, СОУ 74.14-37-425:2006.
- 22. Якість ґрунту. Визначення щільності забруднення території сільськогосподарських угідь радіонуклідами техногенного походження, СОУ 74.14-37-424:2006
- 23. Якість продукції рослинництва. Методи відбору проб для радіаційного контролю, СОУ 01.1-37-426:2006.
- 24. Якість продукції тваринництва. методи відбору проб для радіаційного контролю, СОУ 01.2-37-427:2006.
- 25. Якість продукції тваринництва. Проведення прижиттєвого контролю тварин на територіях, забруднених радіонуклідами, СОУ 01.2-37-428:2006.